

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR HOE-800 7943 02/06/2004 Guenther Heinrich Trautmann 10/773,936 EXAMINER 20028 06/02/2005 Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC FRIDIE JR, WILLMON **755 MAIN STREET** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MONROE, CT 06468

3722 DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/773,936	TRAUTMANN, GUENTHER HEINRICH	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Willmon Fridie Jr.	3722	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO mensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Per period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on O	8 April 2004.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,14,18,19,22 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.			
·				
7)🖂				
• —				
Applicat	ion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	=		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bur			
~ ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmen	rt(e)			
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	n(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Ii 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/773,936

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "wherein the cooling channel" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim1-4,14,18,19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beier et al..

Beier et al. discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: a plurality of stacked segments (2) having continous material web systems connected by a solder layer. See the abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3722

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beier et al.

In regard to claims 32 and 33, the examiner takes Official Notice is taken of the use of a hardenable material following the production of the drive housing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such a material since the use of such is old and well known in the art.

Further it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed material, since it has been held to be within the general skill level of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-13,15-17,20-30 and 34-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER